## 2013 - 2014 Report Card for Licking Valley Elementary School

#### SCHOOL GRADE





## Achievement

This grade combines two results for students who took the state tests. The first result answers the question – How many students passed the state test? The second result answers the question – How well did students do on the state test?

#### Performance Index

78.9%	C
Indica	tors Met
57.1%	D





## Progress

This is your school's average progress for its students in math and reading, grades 4-8. It looks at how much each student learns in a year. Did the students get a year's worth of growth? Did they get more? Did they get less?

### COMPONENT GRADE



#### Value Added Overall.....

Gifted	D
Students with Disabilities	
Lowest 20% in Achievement	D



## Gap Closing

K-3 Literacy

This grade shows how well all students are doing in your district in reading, math, and graduation. It answers the question – Is every student succeeding, regardless of income, race, ethnicity, or disability?

Annual Measurable Objectives 0.1%

## COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in 2016

COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in



## Graduation Rate

This grade answers the question – How many ninth graders graduate in four years or five years?

#### COMPONENT GRADE



#### Graduation Rates

This school is not evaluated for graduation rate because there are not enough students in the graduating class.



## Prepared for Success

This grade answers the question – Are students who graduate from your district ready for college or a career? There are many ways to show that graduates are prepared.

#### OMPONENT GRADE



K-3 Literacy Improvement 72.0%

This grade answers the question – Are more students learning to read in kindergarten through third grade?

COMPONENT GRADE



## Achievement



This grade combines two results for students who took the state tests. The first result answers the question – How many students passed the state test? The second result answers the question – How well did students do on the state test?

COMPONENT GRADE



#### Performance Index


The Performance Index measures the test results of every student, not just those who score proficient or higher. There are six levels on the index and districts receive points for every student in each of these levels. The higher the achievement level the more the points awarded in the district's index. This rewards schools and districts for improving performance.

Performance Index



Ac	hievement Level	Pct of Students		oints for nis Level	F	Points Received	
Ad	vanced Plus	0.0	х	1.3	=	0.0	
	Advanced	19.2	х	1.2	=	23.1	
A	ccelerated	23.5	х	1.1	=	25.9	
	Proficient	34.6	х	1.0	=	34.6	
	Basic	14.6	х	0.6	=	8.8	
	Limited	8.0	х	0.3	=	2.4	
	Untested	0.0	х	0.0	=	0.0	
						047	







Performance Index Trend




## GRADE

#### Indicators Met

Indicators Met measures the percent of students who have passed state tests. Test results are reported for each student in a grade and subject. At least 80 percent of students must pass to get credit for the indicator.

Indicators Met %





#### Ohio Graduation Test


This school does not have enough Ohio Graduation Test results to display this table.

Grades 3-5			
	Mathematics	87.2%	~
3rd Grade	Reading	94.0%	~
	Mathematics	81.3%	~
4th Grade	Reading	86.7%	~
	Mathematics	65.1%	×
5th Grade	Reading	67.5%	×
	Science	68.1%	×


#### Grades 6-8

This school does not have enough test results in 6th, 7th, or 8th grade to display this table.
















Proficient Percent Trend by Grade


3rd Grade











## **Gifted Students**



The Gifted Students data and Indicator highlight the opportunities for and performance of gifted students. The dashboard answers several questions: How many students are identified as gifted and in what categories? How many of those students are receiving gifted services? How well are those gifted students performing? The Gifted Indicator measures whether opportunity and performance expectations are being met for gifted students.



#### **Gifted Summary Achievement** This chart illustrates the test achievement levels by students identified as gifted in that test's subject. For example, how well do students identified as gifted in Reading do on the state Reading tests? 100% 12.5% 13.2% 90% 19.7% Students Identified as Gifted 80% 28.6% of enrollment 70% 60% Students Receiving **Gifted Services** 50% 0.0% of enrollment 40% 30% 20% 10% 0% Reading Science Social Studies Math Identified as Gifted, Not Receiving Services Receiving Gifted Services Not Identified as Gifted Advanced Accelerated Proficient Basic

### Value-Added


Value-Added measures the progress for all students identified as gifted in reading, math, and/or superior cognitive ability.




## Enrollment by Gifted Category

These charts show the percentage of enrolled students that are identified as gifted and that are receiving gifted services.










This chart cannot be displayed because there were not enough students to evaluate.

## Identified and Receiving Services

These charts show, of the students identified as gifted, the percentage of students receiving gifted services.



## Progress



This is your school's average progress for its students in math and reading, grades 4-8. It looks at how much each student learns in a year. It answers the question – Did the students get a year's worth of growth? Did they get more? Did they get less?



## Overall GRADE This measures the progress for all students in math and reading, grades 4-8. F **Gifted Students** GRADE This measures the progress for students identified as gifted in reading, math, and/or superior cognitive ability. Students in the Lowest 20% in Achievement GRADE This measures the progress for students identified as the lowest 20% statewide in reading and math achievement. Students with Disabilities GRADE This measures the progress for students with disabilities. High School GRADE A High School measure of progress will be reported in the 2014-15 school year Coming in 2015


#### Progress Details

This table shows the Progress scores by test grade and subject, and includes up to three years of data as available.

Test Grade	Progress Score			
	Reading	Mathematics	All Tests	
All Grades	-7.24	-2.13	-6.25	
4th Grade	-0.93	3.36	1.42	
5th Grade	-7.80	-6.63	-9.44	

## Progress vs. Performance Index

This bubble chart shows the relationship between each subgroup's Performance Index results (horizontal axis) to the Value-Added letter grade (vertical axis). The size of the bubble represents the size of the student subgroup.



## Gap Closing



This grade shows how well all students are doing in your district in reading, math, and graduation. It answers the question – Is every student succeeding, regardless of income, race, ethnicity, or disability?








#### Annual Measurable Objectives

Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) compare the performance of student groups to a state goal which is displayed as the red line in the following charts. These charts show how well each group achieves that goal in reading, math and graduation – and emphasize any achievement gaps that exist between groups. The ultimate goal is for all groups to achieve at high levels.



The red line on each graph identifies the Annual Measurable Objective. The 2014 AMO for Reading is 84.9%, for Math is 80.5%, and for Graduation Rate is 78.2%. Subgroups with fewer than 30 students are not rated and do not appear on the graphs.

## **Graduation Rate**



This grade represents the percentage of students whom entered the 9th grade and graduated 4 and 5 years later.



This school has not been assigned a grade for Graduation Rate because there were not enough students to evaluate. The Graduation Rate graph that would appear in this space cannot be displayed because there were not enough students to evaluate.

## K-3 Literacy




This grade answers the question - Are more students learning to read in kindergarten through third grade?



# grade

K-3 Literacy






# In Your School...

- < 10 kindergarten students were not ontrack last year.
  - NC of those students improved to ontrack in 1st grade.
- < 10 first grade students were not ontrack last year.
  - NC of those students improved to ontrack in 2nd grade.
- < 10 second grade students were not on-track last year.
  - NC of those students improved to ontrack in 3rd grade.
- < 10 third grade students were not ontrack this year.
  - NC of those students reached proficiency on the 3rd grade OAA.

#### **Details of Measure** Improving to On-Track Not On-Track at Point A at Point B Kindergarten Reading 1st Grade Reading Diagnostic, School < 10 to Diagnostic, School < 10 Year 2012 - 2013 Year 2013 - 2014 2nd Grade Reading 1st Grade Reading to Diagnostic, School < 10 Diagnostic, School < 10 Year 2012 - 2013 Year 2013 - 2014 2nd Grade Reading 3rd Grade Reading to < 10 Diagnostic, School < 10 Diagnostic, School Year 2012 - 2013 Year 2013 - 2014 3rd Grade Reading 3rd Grade Reading to < 10 Diagnostic, School OAA, School Year < 10 Year 2013 - 2014 2013 - 2014 Deduction for 3rd graders who did not pass OAA and were

not on a Reading Improvement and Monitoring Plan < 10

Totals 75 54



100.0%

94.0%

## **Prepared for Success**



This grade answers the question – Are students who graduate from your school ready for college or a career? There are many ways to show that graduates are prepared.

Coming in 2016

How Prepared was Your 2013 Graduating Class?		Outcomes after High School Graduation		
How Prepare ACT: Participation ACT: Remediation Free SAT: Participation SAT: Remediation Free Honors Diploma	This data cannot be shown because there are not enough students in the graduating class of 2013 to evaluate.	<ul> <li>Districts and schools have long-term impacts on student outcomes. The Prepared for Success component provides information on how schools prepare students for different pathways of college and career success. It also provides insights on how those students do once they leave high school. What happens beyond the diploma is an important indicator of how well schools are preparing students.</li> <li>The University System of Ohio provides <u>district reports</u> on enrollment and remediation of high school graduates attending in-state, public colleges and universities.</li> <li>Additional data on outcomes after high school are coming soon. These will include college graduation, demographics of college enrollees, workforce and military enlistment.</li> </ul>		
Industry-Recognized Credential Advanced Placement: Participation		college graduation, demographics of college enrollees, workforce and military enlistment.		
AP: Exam Score of 3 or Better Dual Enrollment				
Credit International Baccalaureate IB: Exam Score of 4 or Better				

Note: These data represent students in the 4-year graduation rate, i.e. students who entered 9th grade in 2010.

Data used in generating the ACT and SAT Remediation Free, AP Exam, IB Exam, and Dual Enrollment Credit measures for the 2013 graduating class were not reported to the Ohio Department of Education by districts. To confirm the information on this page and get a complete picture of the work your district is doing to prepare students for college and career success, please contact your district directly.



Principal: Todd C. Carmer Address: 1510 Licking Valley Rd NE Phone: (740) 763-3525

Newark OH 43055-8857

Directory information current as of the 2013-2014 Report Card publication date.

## Your School's Students




### Enrollment by Subgroup

Enronnent.			
858	Am. Indian / Alaskan Native	Enrollment # NC	Enrollment %
	Asian or Pacific Islander	NC	
Number of	Black, Non-Hispanic	NC	
Limited English	Hispanic	NC	
Proficiency Students	Multiracial	11	1.3%
Excluded from	White, Non-Hispanic	837	97.4%
Accountability	Students with Disabilities	55	6.5%
Calculations:	Economically Disadvantaged	381	44.4%
	Limited English Proficiency	NC	
	Migrant	NC	

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group


State and federal law require an annual assessment of Limited English Proficient (LEP) students to measure their English language proficiency. The Ohio Test of English Language Acquisition (OTELA) is the assessment used in Ohio to gauge LEP students' growth in learning English. For information about your district's OTELA results, see the Department of Education's web site at http://education.ohio.gov.



All Students	95.3%
Am. Indian / Alaskan Native	NC
Asian or Pacific Islander	NC
Black, Non-Hispanic	NC
Hispanic	NC
Multiracial	94.0%
White, Non-Hispanic	95.4%
Students with Disabilities	92.5%
Economic Disadvantage	94.1%
Limited English Proficiency	NC
Migrant	NC
Male	95.3%
Female	95.4%

Attendance Rate

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group



Attendance Rate is not shown if enrollment is less than 10.

Chronic Absenteeism

Rate:

10.3%

Mobility Rates by Subgroup

Stu	dent Mobility %
All Students	0.0%
Am. Indian / Alaskan Native	NC
Asian or Pacific Islander	NC
Black, Non-Hispanic	0.0%
Hispanic	NC
Multiracial	0.0%
White, Non-Hispanic	0.0%
Students with Disabilities	0.0%
Economically Disadvantaged	0.0%
Limited English Proficiency	NC
Migrant	NC

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group

A mobility rate chart cannot be displayed for this school because either there are not enough students to evaluate in any subgroup or all calculated results are 0.0%.

## 2013 - 2014 Report Card for Licking Valley Elementary School

## Your School's Teachers

Your School's Poverty Status: Medium-Low	Your School	Your District
Percentage of teachers with at least a Bachelor's Degree	100.0	100.0
Percentage of teachers with at least a Master's Degree	54.0	60.9
Percentage of core academic subject and elementary classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0	2
Percentage of core academic subject and elementary classes taught by properly certified teachers	98.4	97.8
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by teachers with temporary, conditional or long-term substitute certification/licensure	0	0
Lead or Senior Teachers:	0.0	0.0

## Number of Teachers by Program Area

General Education	42.0
Gifted and Talented	0.0
Career-Technical Programs	0.0
Art Education K-8	2.0
Music Education K-8	2.0
Physical Education K-8	1.0
ELL Instructional Program	0.0
Special Education	3.0

A district's high-poverty schools are those ranked in the top quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. Low-poverty schools are those ranked in the bottom quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. A district may have buildings in both quartiles, in just one quartile or in neither quartile.

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group

## Wellness and Physical Education

The extent to which students are successful in meeting the benchmarks contained in Ohio's physical education standards

Moderate Success

## Fine Arts Courses Offered


Music (K-8) Visual Art (K-12) Vocal/Choral Music

## **Financial Data**



These measures answer several questions about spending and performance. How much is spent on Classroom instruction? How much, on average, is spent on each student? What is the source of the revenue? How do these measures compare to other districts and schools?

# Classroom Spending Data What percent of funds are spent on classroom instruction? 70.1%



## Spending per Pupil Data

	School	State
Operating Spending per Pupil	\$7,406	\$9,189
Classroom Instruction	\$5,193	\$6,192
Non-Classroom Spending	\$2,213	\$2,998

